Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/924,490	WOLLASTON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Robert P. Swiatek.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Stanley C. Spooner.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 February 2007	Time: <u>-</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible 35 USC 102(b)		
Claims discussed: 16, 40		
Prior art documents discussed: Holt et al. (US 5,713,507); Aota et al. (US 6,581,819 B1)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		,
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumr 	The examiner will provide a written te record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
•		
		 ,
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative authorized changes to the above claims that define the thicknesses of component materials across the weld joint in order to differentiate over slight variations in weld joint thickness resulting from the friction stir welding process. See examiner's amendment..